



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,825	03/11/2004	James Mason Boswell	MS1-1912US	8389
22801	7590	04/22/2009		
LEE & HAYES, PLLC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, WA 99201			EXAMINER GOODCHILD, WILLIAM J	
			ART UNIT 2445	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/22/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/799,825

**Applicant(s)**

BOSWELL ET AL.

**Examiner**

WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD

**Art Unit**

2445

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD (Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Elizabeth Zehr (Applicant Rep).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21 and 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: DeLuca, Tanaka, Hosack.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Discussed the rejection and reasons for "identifying a title attribute of the new message that identified a source of the new message, wherein the source is an application running on the client device that sent the new message" as part of the protocol the receiver will know who the sender is, the machine (IP) that sent the message and what application, such as IM, email etc.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrice Winder/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445